

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,605	08/17/2001	Aftab Alam		5073	
7590 05/20/2005			EXAMINER		
AFTAB ALAM 500 CLAYTON MEADOWS			NAGPAUL, JYOTI		
ST. LOUIS, MO 63011			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- V			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/932,605	ALAM, AFTAB				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jyoti Nagpaul	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addres	5S			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (Insions of time may be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, non. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 January 2005.					
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11-19 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are will Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,11-17 and 18-19 is/are reclaim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 18-19 is/are objected to.	thdrawn from consideration					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of	accepted or b) objecte to the drawing(s) be held in at correction is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action of form PTO-1	52.			
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received ments have been received e priority documents have b sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National Stag	ge ·			
2)	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5) The No(s)/Mail Date	l8) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 r:	2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/932,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claim 1-4,6-9,11-16 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kedar in view of Shepel in further view of Schellenberger.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,605

Art Unit: 1743

Kedar discloses a device for application of liquid sample on a membrane (35). Kedar further discloses a plurality of reservoirs (16) formed with in a reservoir-rack (24) having an open end and an end opposite the open end that contains a capillary opening (34) where the open end is adapted to receive liquid samples. The capillary opening (34) of the reservoir is a micro-bore opening protruding as a capillary tip from the main body of the reservoir. (See Figure 5F) The capillary opening of the reservoir has opening orifice narrow enough to prevent the free flow of the liquid samples out of the reservoir under the force of gravity. (Col. 4, Lines 58-65) The capillary opening of the reservoir allows flow of the liquid sample from the reservoir in the membrane by capillary action. (Col. 5, Lines 48-52) Kedar also discusses the capillary opening of the reservoir allow flow of the liquid sample from the reservoir into the membrane by centrifugal action. (Col. 5, Lines 32-35) Kedar also discloses a reservoir-rack where the reservoir is above the membrane surface (35) thus having the capillary opening (34) of the reservoir touches and contacts the membrane. (See Figure 5F, Col. 12, Lines 17-26)

Page 3

With respect to **Claims 3-4**, **8 and 19**, Kedar fails to disclose reservoir-rack has an asymmetrical pattern of positions into which there reservoir can be placed and the reservoir-rack has asymmetrically located through-holes for positioning the reservoir in the reservoir-rack.

Schellenberger teaches microtiter plate/testing plate (12). According to Figure 1, it appears the reservoir rack (12) has asymmetrical pattern of positions.

Art Unit: 1743

In regards to Claims 4–8 and 16 the reservoir-rack in Kedar and Shepel have a plurality of through-holes or positions that allows one to asymmetrically place reservoirs. (See Figure 5) The positions of the reservoir-rack are arranged in a grid pattern that allows positioning reservoirs in columns and rows compatible with the application heads of multi-sample pipetting devices common in the field and industry. The reservoir-rack may be shifted over infinite positions over the membrane with the frame to produce infinite patters of drops on the membrane.

Kedar fails to disclose a frame for securing the membrane for application of the liquid samples. Kedar also fails to disclose a reservoir rack that consists of positions for at least 96 individual reservoirs.

Shepel discloses a frame consisting of a cover plate/frame 2 (12) and a filter base/frame 1 (2) to confine a membrane in a sandwich-like supported relationship. (See Col. 4, Lines 52-56)

Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kedar to include the frames of Shepel and the asymmetrical pattern positions of Schellenberger in order to secure the membrane. It would also have been obvious to modify the device of Kedar to include the features of Shepel and the asymmetrical pattern positions of Schellenberger to include 96 individual reservoirs in order to obtain a more efficient process. In microtiter plates it is conventionally known to have 96 individual reservoirs.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 1/31/2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-9,11-16 and 18-19 under Kedar in view of Shepel have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kedar in view of Shepel in further view of Schellenberger.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jyoti Nagpaul whose telephone number is 571-272-1273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jili Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

JN